

Using Earth Observationbased Above Ground **Biomass Estimates to Compile Condition and Carbon Accounts for Forest Ecosystems in Liberia**

Miroslav Honzák, Conservation International Neha Hunka, University of Maryland College Park et al.

November 30, 2022





SEEA Ecosystem Accounting



Ecosystem and species appreciation

· e e sa

Study Site



3

Liberia





Ecosystem Condition





Available Above Ground Biomass Products



Product (reference)	Input data, (Mission(s))	Years, Pixel-size, Domain	Challenges	
Global Ecosystem Dynamics Investigation (GEDI) L4B	LiDAR, (GEDI)	2019 - 2021 L4B product at 1 km +/- ~51.6° lat	 Large spatial data gaps and is provided at 1 km. The data is relatively novel Geolocation uncertainty of footprints 	
ESA's Climate Change Initiative (CCI) Biomass	LiDAR, SAR, Optical (Envisat ASAR, ALOS, Sentinel-1/2, GEDI, ICESat-2)	2010, 2017, 2018, 2020 (to be released) ~100 m at equator Global	 The inherently used (non-)forest definition is unknown Training sample variability is unaccounted for Loss of model sensitivity at ~400 Mg ha-1 	2
NASA JPL Biomass Map 2020	LiDAR, SAR, Optical (Landsat-8, ALOS, Sentinel-1, GEDI, ICESat- 2)	2020 (to be released) ~100 m at equator Global	Same as all above	CE The Committee on Earth Observa
National Center for Earth Observation (NCEO) Africa	LiDAR, SAR, Optical (GEDI, ALOS-2, Landsat)	2017 ~100 m at equator Africa	Same as all above Product covers only Africa	

+ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY

Variability of Above Ground Biomass Products



No product is currently produced as a consistent time-series

It is not known how products perform comparatively

It is not known how the products' uncertainties scale

It is not known how to calibrate or correct systematic errors

· e e sa

Annual Time Series of Ecosystem Maps



Data: Landsat 5, 7, 8 Epoch: 2000 – 2021 Spatial resolution: 30 m Method: LandTrender Number of classes: 22

•eesa



Identification of Forest Reference Areas



Forest frequency

2000 – 2021; max. = 22 (purple)

Mature rainforest

300 x 300 m; n = 48,400 (4,356 km2)

Mangroves

300 x 300 m; n = 139 (12.5 km₂)



Consistency Test



Mature rainforest

Mean AGB of reference areas (Mg/ha)

Mangroves

Mean AGB of reference areas (Mg/ha)



Intertemporal Calibration

·eesa

Mature rainforest

Three epochs mean = 297 Mg/ha (black dashed line)

Mangroves

Three epochs mean = 55 Mg/ha (black dashed line)



Mean AGB (Mg/ha)

10

Condition Ecosystem Account

esa

Mature rainforest

Reference condition = forest extent in 2010

Mangroves

Reference condition = mangrove extent in 2010



Fragmentation of Mature Tropical Rainforest



12

∙eesa

Carbon Storage









Forest Area (ha)

4.4 MILLION

hectares of **mature tropical rainforest** in Liberia in 2021



28

2020 2021

PERCENT

of mature tropical rainforest **degraded and converted** between 2000-2021

•eesa

Mean Total Carbon Values



Source: Carbon Streaming Corporation

Forest & other land cover

DATA USED:

- AGB: 2017 NCEO AGB for Africa (100 m)
- BGBC: 2010 Global Harmonized Carbon Map (300 m)
- SOC: 2020 SoilGrids 0-30 cm (250 m)

Mangroves

DATA USED:

- AGB: 2000 NASA global mangrove biomass (30 m)
- BGBC: 2010 Global Harmonized Carbon Map (300 m)
- SOC: 2000 WHRC mangrove data (30 m)

💻 📰 🔚 🔚 🔚 🚛 📲 🔚 📰 🔚 📲 🔚 🔤 👘 🚱 🕨 👘 👘 👘 👘 👘 👘 👘

·eesa



nt 200 201 202 203 202 202 Cesa

1,797



metric tons of **carbon** located in Liberia in 2021



16

🕂 💦 🚼 🕂 🔚 🔤 🔮 🏜 🔶 🔸 THE EUROPEAN SPI

Challenges, Opportunities and Recommendations



Challenges

- CCI products may be suitable for monitoring condition at the national scale, however, additional intertemporal or spatial calibration may be needed
- Finer spatial resolution of calibrated AGB products may be needed to monitor ecosystem condition of highly spatially variable ecosystems such as mangroves

Recommendations

- Spatial calibration using e.g., national forest inventory data may be the best option
- We plan to revise our analysis using finer thematic resolution of ecosystem classes

Opportunities

 Conduct spatial calibration and verify the results using the Liberian National Forest Inventory data conducted during 2018 and 2019



Acknowledgements

This presentation features products that were developed as part of partnerships between Conservation International and the U.S. National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). The NASA – Conservation International Space Act Agreement seeks to share technical expertise and data for designing and informing the framework for natural capital and ecosystem accounting.

The information shared here is the result of the implementation of the System of Environmental-Economic Accounting Ecosystem Accounting in Liberia. Conservation International and its partners have made every effort to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the information provided in this presentation. Please note that these findings are preliminary results intended purely for discussion. Results may contain inconsistencies due to the input data that were sources from different sources. Do not cite or circulate the contents of this document without obtaining a permission from Conservation International.

The following people directly or indirectly contributed to the development of the presented products: Miroslav Honzák (CI), Neha Hunka (UMD), Celio de Sousa (NASA), Trond H. Larsen (CI), Timothy Wright (CI), Christopher Neigh (NASA), Temilola Fatoyinbo (NASA), Rosimeiry Portela (CI), Daniel Juhn (CI), Zargou E. Whapoe (EPA of Liberia), Keith Gaddis (NASA), Woody Turner (NASA).

Activities were financed in part by Betty and Gordon Moore, NASA and Global Environmental Facility



EO 4 Ecosystem Accounting 2022



Thank You!

Miroslav Honzák, Conservation International Neha Hunka, University of Maryland College Park et al.

November 30, 2022





and the second second